

State of Minnesota
Ramsey County**SERVICE COPY**District Court
Second Judicial DistrictCourt File Number: **62-HR-CV-25-76**

Steven James Anderson Vs Sunnie Patricia Pesina

**Ex Parte Order Granting Petition for
Harassment Restraining Order**
(Minn. Stat. § 609.748)**Based upon Petitioner's Affidavit and Petition for a Harassment Restraining Order and other
information provided to the Court, THE COURT FINDS:**

There is an immediate and present danger of harassment to justify temporary relief:

1. There are reasonable grounds to believe that Respondent has engaged in harassment which has or is intended to have a substantial adverse effect on safety, security, or privacy of Petitioner or Petitioner's minor children or the person subject to guardianship by committing the following acts:
 - Physically or sexually assaulted the Petitioner,
 - Followed, monitored, or pursued the Petitioner,
 - Made uninvited visits to the Petitioner,
 - Made harassing phone calls or sent harassing text messages to the Petitioner,
 - Made threats to the Petitioner,
 - Frightened Petitioner with threatening behavior,
 - Called the Petitioner abusive names,
 - Damaged the Petitioner's property,
 - Broke into and entered the Petitioner's residence,
 - Took pictures of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner,
 - Stole property from the Petitioner,
 - Disseminated private sexual images of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner,
 - Used personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the Petitioner,
 - Did acts repeatedly that meet the legal definition of "targeted residential picketing",
 - Attended public events after being notified that Respondent's presence at the events is harassing to Petitioner,
 - Used social media to harass Petitioner,
 - Other: SEE ALLEGATIONS (INCORPORATED IN PETITION)

2. Petitioner requested a court hearing.

IT IS ORDERED:

The request for temporary relief is granted and:

1. Respondent shall not harass Petitioner Petitioner's minor children or person subject to guardianship.

The minor children or person subject to guardianship included in the Petition are:

- a. Respondent shall have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner Petitioner's minor children or person subject to guardianship, including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person(s), contact via electronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person(s), damaging or stealing property belonging to the protected person(s), breaking into and entering the protected person(s) residence, and/or taking pictures of a protected person without permission of the Petitioner.
- b. The relief granted does not extend to the Petitioner's minor children or person subject to guardianship. The relief requested for the Petitioner's minor children or person subject to guardianship is denied because the harassment is not directed against the Petitioner's Minor children or person subject to guardianship.
- c. Respondent is prohibited from being within two city blocks of Petitioner's home at 725 4th St E Saint Paul, MN 55106.
Except as follows: _____
- d. Petitioner's address is confidential. If Respondent knows or learns of Petitioner's address, Respondent is prohibited from being within _____ of Petitioner's home.
- e. Respondent is prohibited from being at Petitioner's job site at Mill City Church: 685 13 Ave NE Minneapolis, MN.
Except as follows: _____
- f. Other: This matter is eligible for mediation at a court hearing if a hearing is scheduled. Court Administration may provide copies of pleadings and orders, free of charge, to volunteer mediators for the sole purpose of conducting mediation at a hearing. Court Administration shall not disclose confidential phone numbers or addresses without a separate court order.

~~Respondent shall remove all online content about Petitioner. Respondent is prohibited from disseminating any future verbal, written or electronic content about Petitioner.~~

2. Any requested relief that is not specifically listed above is denied.

3. This Restraining Order is in effect until January 14, 2027 unless changed by a later court order. **Respondent can ask the court to change or vacate the Harassment Restraining Order by filing a Request for Hearing within 20 days of the date of service of the petition.**
4. a. **A hearing will be held. See NOTICE OF HEARING.**
The hearing is scheduled because Petitioner requested a hearing or Petitioner requested a restraining order for longer than two years and the Court wants more information about the need for a longer restraining order.

OR

b. The hearing will be held **as requested by the Petitioner**. Petitioner must contact Court Administration at (651) 266-5130 within 20 days of the date the petition was filed to arrange the hearing date. Court Administration will provide a Notice of Hearing to the parties. If a hearing is not scheduled, the relief in this Restraining Order remains in effect until the date above.

Respondent shall appear personally in Court for the hearing and explain why the requests made in the Petition should not be granted. Petitioner shall appear personally in Court for the hearing and provide proof that the statements in the Petition are true and that Respondent's actions are harassment.

5. Court Administration shall send a copy of this Order to the following law enforcement agencies: Chisago County Sheriff's Department and Saint Paul Police Department which have authority over the residence of the Petitioner. **Every police department and sheriff's office in the United States, including those affiliated with tribal and territorial lands, is responsible for enforcing this Order under 18 U.S.C. § 2265 Full Faith and Credit of Protective Orders.**
6. If Respondent is an organization, this order shall shall not apply to all members of the organization.
7. Other: _____
8. It is not a violation of this order if the parties pursue or participate in voluntary mediation through court approved mediation programs. The party wanting to mediate must contact the mediation program directly to arrange it. They may not contact the other party directly or through friends or relatives. The mediation programs will determine if mediation is acceptable under Minnesota mediation guidelines (Minn. Stat. § 494.03 and Minn. Gen. R. Prac. 114).
9. The sheriff of any county in the State of Minnesota, or a peace officer, shall perform the duties relating to service of this Order without charge to the Petitioner.

10. Respondent is restrained from harassing, stalking, or threatening the protected person(s), or engaging in other conduct that would place the protected person(s) in reasonable fear of bodily injury to that person; and is prohibited from the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the protected person(s) that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(B) and (C).

NOTICE

If a hearing is scheduled and Respondent does not attend the hearing, a Harassment Restraining Order may be granted. Failure of Respondent to appear WILL NOT be a defense to criminal charges against Respondent for violation of any part of this Order. If Petitioner does not attend the hearing this case may be dismissed.

Any conduct by the Respondent in violation of the specific provisions provided in Section 1 above constitutes a violation of this Harassment Restraining Order. A police officer shall arrest Respondent without warrant and take her/him to jail if a police officer believes that Respondent has violated this Restraining Order and shall hold Respondent in jail for at least 36 hours, excluding the day of arrest, Sundays, and legal holidays, unless the Respondent is released earlier by a judge or judicial officer.

Violation of this Harassment Restraining Order may be treated as a misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony. A misdemeanor violation may result in a sentence of up to 90 days in jail and/or a \$1000.00 fine. Some repeat violations are gross misdemeanors and may result in a sentence of up to one year in jail and/or a \$3,000.00 fine. Other violations are felonies and may result in a sentence of imprisonment for up to five years and/or a \$10,000 fine. A person who engages in a pattern of harassing conduct is guilty of a felony and may be sentenced to imprisonment for up to ten years and/or a fine of \$20,000.

Federal law may prohibit shipping, transporting, possessing, or receiving firearms or ammunition while this order is in effect. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8).

Dated: _____



Larmouth, Jenese
(Referee)
Jan 14, 2025 1:00 PM

Referee of District Court

Dated: _____

Judge of District Court

State of Minnesota
Ramsey County

District Court
Second Judicial District

Court File Number: **62-HR-CV-25-76**

Case Type: Harassment

Notice of Filing of Order

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT you are hereby notified that the attached Order has been filed in this case. The date of filing is represented on the "FILED" stamp on the face of the order.

Donald Harper
Court Administrator
Ramsey County District Court
25 West Seventh Street
Saint Paul, MN 55102
(651) 266-5130

A true and correct copy of this notice has been served pursuant to Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 77.04.

Important Notice to Respondent

If you are served with these papers, one of the following has happened (see attached papers for specifics):

- A temporary harassment restraining order has been issued against you. A hearing has been scheduled.

OR

- A temporary harassment restraining order has been issued against you. A hearing has not been scheduled. **You have 20 days from the date of service of the Temporary Restraining Order to request a hearing.**

OR

- A harassment restraining order has not been issued at this time. A hearing has been scheduled.

Review the attached papers carefully.

What is a Harassment Restraining Order?

A person who is a victim of harassment may seek a restraining order from the Court. The parent or guardian of a minor who is the victim of harassment may seek a restraining order on behalf of the minor. The restraining order prohibits harassment. A restraining order may be issued against an individual who has engaged in harassment, or against organizations that have sponsored or promoted harassment.

What is Harassment?

Under [Minn. Stat. § 609.748](#), harassment is defined as:

1. A single incident of
 - a. physical or sexual assault, or
 - b. stalking in which the victim's personal information is used by another without consent of the victim, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the victim [[See Minn. Stat. § 609.749, subd. 2 clause \(8\)](#)], or
 - c. intentionally disseminating a private image of the victim, without consent of the victim, that shows the victim in a sexual act or whose intimate parts are exposed, in whole or in part, and the victim is identifiable by the victim or by another person or from personal information displayed in connection with the

image. (See [Minn. Stat. § 617.261](#)).

2. Repeated incidents of intrusive or unwanted acts, words or gestures that have a substantial adverse effect or are intended to have a substantial adverse effect on the safety, security or privacy of another, regardless of the relationship between the victim and the alleged harasser.
3. Targeted residential picketing, which includes:
 - a. marching, standing, or patrolling by one or more persons directed solely at a particular residential building in a manner that adversely affects the safety, security, or privacy of an occupant of the building, or
 - b. marching, standing, or patrolling by one or more persons which prevents an occupant of a residential building from gaining access to or exiting from the property on which the residential building is located.
4. A pattern of attending public events after being notified that one's presence is harassing to another.

Change of Address:

It is important that the court knows your mailing address to send you notices. If your mailing address changes, give court administration (<http://mncourts.gov/Find-Courts.aspx>) your new mailing address.

Hearing:

If a temporary restraining order has been issued, you have the right to ask for a hearing. You have 20 days from the date the petition is served to file a Request for Hearing with the court. You will be required to pay a civil filing fee unless the judicial officer has waived the filing fee due to the allegations in the petition. Review the *Order Regarding Fee Waiver in Harassment Restraining Order Proceedings* to see if the filing fee has been waived.

State of Minnesota County	District Court Judicial District: _____ Court File Number: _____ Case Type: Harassment
_____ Petitioner(s)	Order Regarding Fee Waiver in Harassment Restraining Order Proceedings
vs	[Minn. Stat. § 609.748, subd. 3a; 42 U.S.C. §§ 3796gg-5(a); 3796hh(c)(4)]
_____ Respondent(s)	

If the judicial officer did not order your filing fee waived, you may complete and file a fee waiver application (*Affidavit for Proceeding In Forma Pauperis*, IFP102) that would be reviewed by a judicial officer who would determine whether to waive your filing fee based

on your income. Fee waiver forms are available from the court administrator and online (<http://mncourts.gov/GetForms.aspx?c=19&p=69>). The court will notify the parties with a date and time to appear for a hearing.

Violations:

You may be charged with a misdemeanor, subject to a sentence of up to 90 days in jail and/or a fine of

\$1,000.00, if you are aware of the existence of a restraining order and you violate it. Some repeat violations are gross misdemeanors that may result in a sentence of up to one year in jail and/or a

\$3,000.00 fine. Other violations are felonies that may result in a sentence of imprisonment for up to five years and/or a fine of \$10,000.00.

You may be arrested and taken into custody without warrant if a peace officer has probable cause to believe you are in violations of the restraining order. You will be held in jail for at least 36 hours, excluding the day of arrest, Sundays, and legal holidays, unless you are released earlier by a judicial officer. Violation of the restraining order also constitutes contempt of court. See [Minn. Stat. § 609.748, subd. 6](https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/609.748#stat.609.748.6) (<https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/609.748#stat.609.748.6>).

State of Minnesota
Ramsey County

District Court
Second Judicial District

Court File Number: **62-HR-CV-25-76**

Steven James Anderson vs Sunnie Patricia Pesina

**Request for Hearing
(Minn. Stat. § 609.748)**

I am the Petitioner/Respondent in this action. My current address is: _____

My telephone number is: _____

For Respondent only: I, the Respondent in this matter, was personally served by the police/sheriff on _____, 20__ (or received a copy of the papers by United States mail on _____, 20__).

I respectfully request the Court to hold a hearing in the above-entitled action. I understand that any harassment restraining order issued by the Court shall remain in full force and effect until the date of the hearing.

Dated: _____

Petitioner/Respondent

NOTE

A hearing will not be held unless you request one within 20 days from service of the petition. **If a Temporary Restraining Order has been issued, then you only have 20 days from the date the petition was served to request a hearing.** To request a hearing, complete this form and return it to the court administrator along with the civil filing fee at: Domestic Abuse/Harassment Office, Ramsey County Juvenile and Family Justice Center, 25 West 7th Street, St. Paul MN 55102, ph: 651-266-5130. If you can not afford the filing fee, contact the court for instructions. If the petition alleges stalking or criminal sexual conduct, then you are not required to pay the civil filing fee when requesting a hearing.

Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota

State of Minnesota
Ramsey County

District Court
Second Judicial District
62-HR-CV-25-76
Harassment

Court File Number:
Case Type:

Steven James Anderson Vs Sunnie
Patricia Pesina

**Order Regarding Fee Waiver in Harassment
Restraining Order Proceedings**

[Minn. Stat. § 609.748, subd. 3a;
34 U.S.C. §§ 10450(a)(1); 10461(c)(1)(D)]

Based on the petition filed, the Court FINDS:

- The petition alleges one or more of the following:
- Sexual assault or criminal sexual conduct under Minn. Stat. §§ 609.342 to 609.3451; or
 - Domestic violence or dating violence; or
 - Harassment under Minn. Stat. § 609.749, which means engaging in conduct which the actor knows or has reason to know would cause the victim under the circumstances to feel frightened, threatened, oppressed, persecuted, or intimidated, and causes this reaction on the part of the victim regardless of the relationship between the actor and victim, and includes:
 - Directly or indirectly, or through third parties, manifests a purpose or intent to injure the person, property, or rights of another by the commission of an unlawful act;
 - Follows, monitors, or pursues another, whether in person or through any available technological or other means;
 - Returns to the property of another if the actor is without claim of right to the property or consent of one with authority to consent;
 - Repeatedly makes telephone calls, sends text messages, or induces a victim to make telephone calls to the actor, whether or not conversation ensues;
 - Makes or causes the telephone of another repeatedly or continuously to ring;
 - Knowingly makes false allegations against a peace officer concerning the officer's performance of official duties with intent to influence or tamper with the officer's performance of official duties;
 - Uses another's personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the person.

- Stalks another with intent to influence or otherwise tamper with a juror or a judicial proceeding or with intent to retaliate against a judicial officer, prosecutor, defense attorney, or officer of the court, because of that person's performance of official duties in connection with a judicial proceeding; or
- Has engaged in a pattern of stalking conduct under Minn. Stat. § 609.749, subd. 5.

OR

- The petition does not allege acts that would constitute a violation of section 609.749, subdivision 2, 3, 4, or 5, or sections 609.342 to 609.3451 on any grounds listed above.

IT IS ORDERED:

- That the **Petitioner's** filing fee and all other fees are **WAIVED**. The Petitioner shall not be required to pay any fees in this case. The **Respondent's** filing fee is **WAIVED**. The Respondent shall be required to pay all other fees in this case.

OR

- That the **Petitioner's** and **Respondent's** fees are **NOT WAIVED**.

Date: _____



Larmouth, Jenese
(Referee)
Jan 14, 2025 1:00 PM

Referee of District Court

Date: _____

Judge of District Court

State of Minnesota
Ramsey County

District Court
Second Judicial District

Court File Number: **62-HR-CV-25-76**

Case Type: Harassment

Notice of Filing of Order

Steven James Anderson vs Sunnie Patricia Pesina

You are notified that on 1/14/2025 the attached order was filed.

Dated: 1/14/2025

Donald W. Harper
Court Administrator
Ramsey County District Court
25 West Seventh Street
St Paul MN 55102
651-266-5130

JAN 13 2025

State of Minnesota

County of:
Ramsey

District Court

Judicial District: Second
Court File Number: 02HRCV2576
Case Type: Harassment

In the Matter of:

Steven James Anderson

Petitioner (first, middle, last)

On behalf of:

Other persons needing protection (first, middle, last)

**Petition for Harassment
Restraining Order**

Minn. Stat. § 609.748

and for her/himself

vs.

Sunnie Patricia Pesina

Respondent (first, middle, last)

1. Petitioner Information (You)

Name: (first, middle, last) Steven James Anderson

Date of birth: (month/day/year): December/15/1995

Gender: Male Female

Address:

I am requesting that my **address** be kept confidential by submitting the completed *Confidential Address/Phone Request* form (HAR104) to the court.

OR

I am not requesting that my address be kept confidential. My address is:

My Address: 725 4th St E

City, State, Zip Code: Saint Paul MN 55106

Phone Number:

I am requesting that my **phone number** be kept confidential by submitting the completed *Confidential Address/Phone Request* form (HAR104) to the court.

OR

✓ I am not requesting that my phone number be kept confidential. My phone number is:

Telephone: 815.236.9533

2. **Email Notification of Service**

By providing my email address below, I ask to be notified by email when the respondent is served with the Harassment Restraining Order (HRO). I understand that:

- This is the only email I will receive from the court about the HRO unless I have signed up to receive other court notices via email.
- It will only be possible for the court to notify me by email when service information is received by the court.
- A technical or other error could occur preventing the successful delivery of the email.
- I have other options to learn of the service of the HRO on the respondent, including contacting law enforcement directly, and
- I must provide a valid email address in order to receive this notification of service.

THIS EMAIL ADDRESS WILL BE SEEN BY THE RESPONDENT:

Email address: stevenjanderson2@gmail.com

3. **Who needs protection?**

- ✓ Me (Petitioner)
- My minor children
- A person for whom I am appointed the legal guardian (attach Guardianship Order)
- Other: _____

For anyone you checked above, other than yourself, please fill out the following:

Name (first, middle, last)	Gender	Date of Birth	Lives with you?
	<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

4. **Respondent Information:** (Person you want protection from)

Name: (first, middle, last) Sunnie Patricia Pesina

Address: _____

City, State, Zip Code _____

Telephone: _____

Race: White Gender Male Female

Date of birth: _____ If unknown, age or approximate age 63

(Respondent's race, gender, and date of birth or approximate age are required for reporting requirements.)

Is Respondent under the age of 18? Yes No

Answer these questions *only if* Respondent is younger than 18:

Respondent's parent's or guardian's name: _____

Parent or guardian address: _____

If Respondent is under 18 years old, service must be made on Respondent *and* Respondent's parent or guardian.

5. What are the relationship(s) between the respondent and the people who need protection?

Check all that apply.

Married

Divorced

Currently living together

Lived together in the past

Have a child together

Have an unborn child together

Parent/Child

Other/None of the above. Please explain: Aunt-In-law

OTHER COURT CASES

6. a) How many restraining orders have been in effect, ordering Respondent to stay away from each person you included at #3 above? none one two or more. For each restraining order provide:

Court File Number, if known	County and State where the court is located
-----------------------------	---

62-HR-CV-21-361 (this restraining order is for the Respondent's brother, Timothy Hutchinson to stay away from me)	Ramsey County, MN
62-HR-CV-21-362 (this restraining order is for the Respondent's brother, Timothy Hutchinson to stay away from my wife, Brittany. Timothy is Brittany's father.)	Ramsey County, MN

b) Does Respondent have a current Harassment Restraining Order or Order for Protection against you?

Yes. Case File Number (if known) _____ No, I am not aware of any.

7. The following court cases involve me and the Respondent in issues of child custody or parenting time:

Court File Number	County and State where the court is located

ACTS OF HARASSMENT

8. Respondent has harassed the victim(s) (the person or persons listed in #3 above) as follows:

- Check all boxes that apply and give the date and details of each incident. If the exact date is not known, provide a date range.
- To get a HRO, you must describe actions that meet the legal definition of harassment in Minnesota. See the *Instructions* (HAR101) for the definition of harassment.
- If you need more space, include additional pages and continue your description there. If filing on paper, do not write on the back of any page.

a. Respondent physically or sexually assaulted the victim as follows:
Date (date range): _____

BACKGROUND

By way of background, it's helpful to know that the respondent is my aunt-in-law, that is, the sister to my father-in-law, Timothy Hutchinson. My wife, Brittany Anderson, and I currently have Restraining Orders against Hutchinson. Brittany and I were initially granted Restraining Orders against Hutchinson in 2021 after his response to Brittany and my engagement. Soon after our engagement in 2021, Hutchinson began a campaign of misinformation, deception, and threats that included contacting my place of work, uninvited visits, and a website full of defamatory statements about me. After the issuance of the Restraining Order, Hutchinson continued to issue threats to me via my attorney and contacted my place of employment (at the time Calvary Church of Roseville MN) threatening protests against me at my place of employment. Sunnie Pesina claimed to be a part of orchestrating these protests. These actions culminated in both Brittany and I being granted extensions of our Restraining Order in 2023, my Restraining Order against Hutchinson was extended for ten years, Brittany's for two (court file number 62-HR-CV-21-361 for me, 62-HR-CV-21-362 for Brittany). These restraining orders are included as exhibits A and B at the end of this document. Included in the findings of this restraining order are:

62-HR-CV-21-361 Paragraph 2, Page 2—Timothy Hutchinson's Creation of a Harassing Website as a Basis for a Finding of Harassment Against Steven Anderson In June 2021, Hutchinson created a website and a ninety-minute video, which was his response to all of the allegations raised in my initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged me. Hutchinson invited family, including my wife, to view the website. In 2022, Hutchinson wrote a letter to his family and friends, which was uploaded to the website. In this letter, Hutchinson provides updates and again responds to the allegations raised in my initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged me.

62-HR-CV-21-362 Paragraph 6, Page 3— Timothy Hutchinson's Admitted Creation of the Website Was Determined by the Court to be a Violation the First HRO and Was Intended "To Have Negatively Impacted Petitioner's safety, security and Privacy." The court went on to find that Mr. Hutchinson *"lacks insight into how his conduct has affected [Brittany] and her husband and it is likely the harassment will continue without a HRO in place."*


With this background, the Court issued the following ORDER:

- **62-HR-CV-21-361 Paragraph 1, Page 3.** *"Respondent [Tim Hutchinson] shall have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner [Steven Anderson]...via electronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person..."*
- **62-HR-CV-21-361 Paragraph 8, Page 4.** *"Respondent [Tim Hutchinson] is restrained from harassing, stalking, or threatening the protected person, or engaging in other conduct that would place the protected person in reasonable fear of bodily injury to that person; and is prohibited from the use; attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the protected person that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury."*

In the past few months, despite never having met me in person, Sunnie has begun to pick up the mantle of Hutchinson's defamatory campaign, using the internet to publicly spread falsehoods

about me that Hutchinson is barred from sharing himself because of the Restraining Order. Sunnie Pesina, has utilized her platform to harass me and my wife as Hutchinson's proxy by the same prohibited means by the separate HRO's my husband and I have against him. Specifically, her harassment includes spreading misinformation and continuing the defamatory campaign against me and Brittany. It is clear from the messaging and tone of Sunnie's posts, that she is hoping to recruit others to action, including the increased spread of the defamation about me. Her recruitment efforts include over 12 TikTok videos, a public Facebook group, and a webpage. As of the writing of this document, new videos containing defamatory statements and encouraging people to visit the webpage have been added daily to her TikTok account. The intended effect of this website and social media posts is the same as the court found to be in the HRO against Hutchinson, namely, to impact my safety, security and privacy negatively. Hutchinson is aware of this Facebook group and has actively engaged in the group, including promoting the group publicly to his Facebook friends through a status update.

Exhibit C- Hutchinson promoting the Facebook group



I am employed full-time as Director of Young Adult Ministries at Mill City Church through Reliant Mission. As someone in ministry, working at a church, my character and reputation are important elements of my career. I fear Sunnie's campaign may follow her brother's pattern, continuing to escalate her actions, including sharing these defamatory statements with my employers and others.

b. Respondent has followed, monitored, or pursued the victim as follows:
Date (date range): _____

c. Respondent made uninvited visits to the victim as follows:
Date (date range): _____

d. Respondent made harassing phone calls or sent harassing text messages to the victim as follows:
Date (date range): _____

e. Respondent made threats to the victim as follows:
Date (date range): _____

f. Respondent frightened the victim with threatening behavior as follows:

Date (date range): _____

g. Respondent broke into and entered the victim's residence as follows:
Date (date range): _____

h. Respondent damaged the victim's property as follows:
Date (date range): _____

i. Respondent stole property from the victim as follows:
Date (date range): _____

j. Respondent took pictures of the victim without permission as follows:
Date (date range): _____

k. Respondent shared private sexual images of the victim without permission as follows:
Date (date range): _____

l. Respondent used the victim's personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the victim as follows:

Date (date range): _____

- m. More than once, Respondent has done acts that meet the legal definition of “targeted residential picketing” by:
Date (date range): _____

- n. The Respondent has been told not to come to certain public events that the victim attends because:

After that, Respondent attended public events that the victim attended: (List dates, places and name of events)

These acts by Respondent show a pattern of attending public events while knowing that attending is harassing to the victim.

- o. Used social media to harass the victim by:
Date (date range): 11/30/24- Present

November 30, 2024--- FACEBOOK GROUP

On November 30th 2024, the respondent created a public Facebook group (I am Innocent) to disseminate defamatory information about me. This Facebook group (which includes Timothy Hutchinson) includes videos posted by Pesina wherein she repeats false statements made by her brother, Timothy Hutchinson, such as that I “ruined his life”. Screenshots from this Facebook group are included in Exhibit A. This Facebook group also points to a website iaminnocent.net This website exists to defame me as well.

Exhibit D-1




Exhibit D-2






Exhibit D3- The woman in the video pictured below is the Respondent, Sunnie Pesina.



January 2, 2025- Present--- TIKTOK VIDEOS

On January 2, the respondent began posting videos of herself on a TikTok page focused on disseminating the defamation about me and actively seeking to recruit others to do likewise. These videos also direct the audience to the website iaminnocent.net and include defamatory statements from the website, such as those detailed below. These videos also include statements that Hutchinson is connected to people who are willing to physically harm me to support Hutchinson.

Exhibit E- Screenshot of the Respondent's TikTok page as of January 7, 2025




✓ p. Other:

Date (date range): At least since January 2nd 2025-Present

PUBLIC DEFAMATORY WEBSITE

On or before January 2nd 2025, the public website, iaminnocent.net which can be found when searching on Google (Exhibit F) was published. This website includes defamatory statements about me. Including that I was a part of ruining Timothy Hutchinson’s life and that I committed crimes worthy of jail time.

Exhibit F



There are also many claims made about me on the website (Exhibit G), written from the perspective of Hutchinson, that were the same statements that lead to me being granted a

restraining order against Hutchinson:

Exhibit G-1 False reports regarding my behavior on phone calls with Hutchinson (my highlights)




Exhibit G-2 Statements that I caused Hutchinson emotional distress (my highlights):





Exhibit G-3,4 Claims I have moral failures unbecoming of a Christian/someone in my position at a church (context added)

When Steven learned of [a baseless claim that he has cancer that Brittany assured me wasn't true] in an email, he never reached out to offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior?

Exhibit G-3



To make things a bit worse on my end is the fact that cancer runs strong in my family. My grandmother, mom and 2 older brothers all died from it. I am now the eldest living male - my brothers died at an age younger than I currently am. Recently I've been experiencing some very familiar and concerning symptoms and my bloodwork is not normal. I have several upcoming tests to verify what I already believe to be true. To avoid undue stress on my family, I've not shared this information, until now.

Comment: When Steven learned of this in an email, he never reached out to offer prayer or show any type of concern. Considering that he is a youth pastor, is this really Christian behavior?

Exhibit G-4



very wrong here. Steven has somehow convinced my daughter that I have done things that are wrong, without any evidence or proof whatsoever. In fact, it has been Steven who is the one causing problems. Again, how is this Christian behavior? And from a pastor??

Included false claims about my relationship with my wife (Exhibit F-5,6,7)

Exhibit G-5



Seeing what my daughter is going through is horrible and adds to my desire to fix things so she can be happy. We had medication scheduled but Steven cancelled it. He says it's because he doesn't want to talk about previous events, and only wants to focus on how my wife and I should be talking to him and Brittany. [Seems a bit controlling].

To date Steven has not... [truncated]

Exhibit G-6



"Conversations with you have not lead to that trustful... What conversations???" I am literally the ONLY person trying to move things forward in a healthy way. This is a problem between Steven and I, and he has not done ANYTHING Period.

Before meeting Steven, my daughter would never have written an email like this. Never. Clearly he is manipulating her.

Exhibit G-7 Accuses me of being a narcissist (my highlights)





Exhibit G-8 Claims that I am being directly influenced by Satan (my highlights)



Screen recordings of this website are available.

9. Describe the effect the harassment has upon the victim's safety, security or privacy:

As described above, I work for a church where my character, including my treatment of others, including my wife, and my father-in-law significantly impacts employment decisions by my employer. The respondent has demonstrated that she is willing to repeat and disseminate false statements about my character, repeating these claims from her brother. This seems to be only the beginning and I fear for how she may continue to escalate her conflict as Hutchinson did.

10. Do you believe the harassment will continue? Yes No Why?

Pesina seems to be completely on board with her brother's (Timothy Hutchinson) campaign to harass me and defame my character. By disseminating Hutchinson's false statements about my actions, she demonstrates she believes him completely. By matching his actions of public communication and website creation about me, it is clear she is also bought in on his process of harming my reputation and perhaps worse. She is following the pattern Hutchinson established.

11. I ask the Court to issue a HRO as follows:

a. Respondent shall not harass:

me

minor children for whom I am the parent, legal guardian, or stepparent

an adult ward for whom I am the legal guardian

b. Respondent shall have no contact with me the minor children an adult ward listed in #3 above.

c. Respondent shall stay away from where I/we live (when the Respondent knows or becomes aware of the address):

i. My address is confidential [the Court cannot order Respondent to stay away from a specific address when the Respondent doesn't know that address]

OR

My home address is: 725 4th St E

City: Saint Paul State: MN Zip: 55106

A reasonable distance or area surrounding my home, specifically as follows: 2 city blocks

Except as follows: _____

ii. The home of _____ [protected person(s)].

The address is confidential OR

The home address at: _____

A reasonable distance or area surrounding this home, specifically as follows:

Except as follows: _____

✓ d. Order the Respondent not to call or enter ✓ Petitioner's _____'s workplace including all land, parking lots and buildings at:

Employer Name: Mill City Church

Address: 685 13 Ave NE Minneapolis MN 5413

Except as follows: _____

Is there another workplace? Yes ✓ No

If Yes:

Employer Name: _____

Address: _____

Except as follows: _____

✓ e. Other:

Sunnie be directed to immediately remove all on-line content about me and that she be restrained from disseminating any future content, verbal, written or electronic about me.

COURT HEARING

Petitioner: Read these Notices about a Hearing.

- You have a right to request a court hearing. **Respondent will be served a copy of this Petition if there is a hearing.**
- If the judicial officer dismisses your case because it has no merit, no hearing will be held.
- The judicial officer can issue a HRO *without* a court hearing if the judicial officer finds there is immediate and present danger of harassment.

Exhibit A

STATE OF MINNESOTA
COUNTY OF RAMSEY

DISTRICT COURT
SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT

In Re the Matter of:

Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-361

Steven James Anderson,

Petitioner,

and

Timothy Allyn Hutchinson,

Respondent.

**PETITIONER'S
NOTICE OF FILING AND ENTRY OF
ORDER GRANTING HARASSMENT RESTRAINING
ORDER AFTER HEARING**

TO: TIMOTHY ALLYN HUTCHINSON, ABOVE-NAMED *SELF-REPRESENTED*
RESPONDENT, 1773 GARDEN LANE, WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN 55110

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 13, 2023, the Court filed and entered the attached Order Granting Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing in the above-entitled matter. THE PURPOSE OF THIS NOTICE IS TO LIMIT THE TIME FOR POST-TRIAL/POST-HEARING RELIEF AND/OR APPEAL.

CAPISTRANT VAN LOH, P.A.

Dated: July 27, 2023

1st Daniel J. Van Loh

Daniel J. Van Loh (#0297513)
Attorney for Petitioner
One Main Street SE
Suite 206
Minneapolis, MN 55414
(612) 827-6300
dan@capvanloh.com

State of Minnesota

County Ramsey

District Court

Judicial District:	Second
Court File Number:	62-HR-CV-21-361
Case Type:	Harassment

In the Matter of:

Steven James Anderson
Petitioner

**Order Granting Harassment Restraining
Order After Hearing
(Minn. Stat. § 609.748)**

vs.

Timothy Allyn Hutchinson

This matter was heard by Elizabeth Clysdale, Judge/Referee of District Court on July 10, 2023.

Appearances were made by:

- Petitioner Petitioner's Attorney: Daniel Van Loh, Esq.
- Respondent Respondent's Attorney:
- Other: Witnesses for Respondent

Based upon the evidence and all the files, records and proceedings in this matter, the court finds:

1. The Respondent denies the allegations of the Petition but has no objection to the issuance of a Restraining Order. The court makes no findings of harassment.
2. There are reasonable grounds to believe that Respondent has engaged in harassment which has or is intended to have a substantial adverse effect on safety, security, or privacy of Petitioner or the minor children or ward(s) of Petitioner by committing the following acts:
 - Physically or sexually assaulted the Petitioner as follows:
 - Followed, monitored, or pursued the Petitioner as follows:
 - Made uninvited visits to the Petitioner as follows:
 - Made harassing phone calls or sent harassing text messages to the Petitioner as follows:
 - Made threats to the Petitioner as follows:
 - Frightened Petitioner with threatening behavior as follows:
 - Called the Petitioner abusive names as follows:
 - Damaged Petitioner's property as follows:
 - Broke into and entered Petitioner's residence as follows:

- Stole property from Petitioner as follows:
- Took pictures of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows:
- Disseminated private sexual images of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows:
- Used personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the Petitioner as followings:
- Did acts repeatedly that meet the legal definition of “targeted residential picketing” as follows:
- Attended public events after being notified that Respondent’s presence at the events is harassing to Petitioner as follows:
- Used social media to harass Petitioner as following:
- Other: The court finds the following:

1. Respondent is Petitioner’s father-in-law. On May 27, 2021, Respondent agreed to a two-year Harassment Restraining Order (HRO) without findings. Petitioner’s wife also has a HRO against Respondent.

2. In June 2021, Respondent created a website and a ninety-minute video, which was his response to all of the allegations raised in Petitioner’s initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged Petitioner. (Ex. 6). Respondent invited family, including Petitioner’s wife, to view the website. In June 2022, Respondent wrote a letter to his family and friends, which was uploaded to the website. (Ex. 5). In this letter, Respondent provided updates and again responds to the allegations raised in Petitioner’s initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged Petitioner.

3. On June 4, 2021, Respondent sent a letter to Petitioner’s wife, which accused Petitioner of lying and blamed him for Respondent and his daughter’s broken relationship. (Ex. 4). On December 7, 2021, Respondent sent another letter to Petitioner’s wife, where he blames Petitioner for his health issues, lack of sleep, and his heart condition. (Ex. 10).

4. On May 4, 2023, Respondent sent a message addressed to Petitioner through Petitioner’s attorney. In this letter, Respondent mentions that the HRO expires on May 23, 2023, and goes on to say that Petitioner’s lies will be exposed and he plans to protest at the church every week at both locations. (Ex. 3).

5. On May 5, 2023, Respondent contacted Petitioner’s employer, Calvary Church, and demanded that the church investigate Petitioner’s conduct as it relates to the problems between Respondent and his daughter, who is Petitioner’s wife. In this letter, Respondent threatened to take action if an investigation was not conducted. When Calvary Church declined to investigate, Respondent replied: “The banners

have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for [Calvary Church] to do the proper investigation.” (Ex. 2). Respondent was referring that there would be protests on church property directed at Petitioner, which Petitioner and his employer took seriously.

6. Respondent does not dispute that he created a website and a video, sent letters to Calvary Church, and letters to Petitioner and his wife through their attorney. Respondent offered explanations and excuses for his conduct, which were not credible. Respondent’s sister’s testimony that she was organizing the protest and Respondent was not going to be present was not credible. Respondent’s website, video, letters to Calvary Church, and letters to Petitioner and his wife through their attorney violated the existing HRO and were intended to and have negatively impacted Petitioner’s safety, security and privacy. Respondent lacks insight into how his conduct has affected Petitioner and his wife and it is likely the harassment will continue without a HRO in place.

7. Petitioner is requesting a ten-year HRO. A HRO may be issued for a period of more than two years when there have been two or more violations of a HRO. Given the nature of the violations and Respondent’s continued attacks on Petitioner’s reputation and his employment, the HRO will be issued for ten years.

3. The harassment has or is intended to have a substantial adverse effect on the Petitioner’s safety, security, or privacy.
4. The relief granted by this order may be for a period of up to 50 years based on the finding that:
- The petitioner has had two or more previous restraining order in effect against the same respondent; or
- The respondent has violated a prior or existing restraining order on two or more occasions.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Respondent shall not harass Petitioner Petitioner’s minor childred or ward(s)
List minor children or ward(s) included in the Petition: _____
- a. Respondent shall have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner Petitioner’s minor children or ward(s), including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person(s), contact via electronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person(s), damaging or stealing property belonging to the protected

person(s), breaking into and entering the protected person(s) residence, and/or taking pictures of a protected person without permission of the Petitioner.

- b. The relief granted does not extend to the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). The relief requested for the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s) is denied because the harassment is not directed against the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s).
- c. Respondent is prohibited from being within two (2) city blocks or a quarter mile of Petitioner's home at 725 4th Street E, Saint Paul, MN 55016 or future residences of Petitioner should Petitioner relocate.

Except as following: _____

- d. Petitioner's address is confidential. If Respondent knows or learns of Petitioner's address, Respondent is prohibited from being within _____ of Petitioner's home.
- e. Respondent is prohibited from being within two (2) city blocks or quarter mile of Petitioner's job site at Reliant Mission/Calvary Church 2120 Lexington Ave N, Roseville, Minnesota 55112 and 4604 Greenhaven Dr, White Bear Lake, Minnesota 55127 or future places of employment should Petitioner relocate.

Except as follows: _____

- f. Other: _____
2. Any requested relief that is not specifically listed above is denied.
3. This Order shall remain in effect until 2033, which is ten (10) years from the date of this Order, unless changed by a later court order.
(Date not to exceed two years unless findings made to support longer order.)
4. The Court Administrator shall send a copy of this Order to the following law enforcement agency(s): Ramsey County Sheriff's Department and Saint Paul Police Department. **Every police department and sheriff's office in the United States, including those affiliated with tribal and territorial lands, is responsible for enforcing this Order under 18 U.S.C. § 2265 Full Faith and Credit of Protective Orders.**
5. If Respondent is an organization, this order shall / shall not apply to all members of the organization.
6. Other: _____
7. The sheriff of any county in Minnesota, or a peace officer, shall perform the duties relating to serving this Order without charge to Petitioner.
8. Respondent is restrained from harassing, stalking, or threatening the protected person(s), or engaging in other conduct that would place the protected person(s) in reasonable fear of bodily

injury to that person; and is prohibited from the use; attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the protected person(s) that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(B) and (C).

NOTICE

Any conduct by the Respondent in violation of the specific provisions provided in the "It Is Ordered" section above constitutes a violation of this Harassment Restraining Order. A police officer shall arrest the Respondent without warrant and take the Respondent to jail if the police officer believes the Respondent has violated this Order, and shall hold the Respondent in jail for at least 36 hours, excluding the day of arrest, Sundays, and legal holidays, unless the Respondent is released by a judge or judicial officer.

Violation of this Harassment Restraining Order may be treated as a misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony. A misdemeanor violation may result in a sentence of up to 90 days in jail and/or a fine of \$1000.00. Some repeat violations are gross misdemeanors that may result in a sentence of up to one year in jail and/or a \$3,000.00 fine. Other violations are felonies that may result in a sentence of imprisonment for up to five years and/or a fine of \$10,000.00.

Federal law may prohibit shipping, transporting, possessing or receiving firearms or ammunition while this order is in effect. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8).

If the court grants this Harassment Restraining Order for a period of up to 50 years under Minn. Stat. §609.748 subd. 5, the Respondent must wait 5 years to seek modification of the Harassment Restraining Order.



Clysdale, Elizabeth (Referee)
Jul 13 2023 10:38 AM

Dated: _____

Referee of District Court

Dated: _____

Judge of District Court

Distribution

- Copy for Petitioner
- Copy for local police department
- Other:

- Copy for Respondent
- Copy for Sheriff

State of Minnesota
Ramsey County

District Court
Second Judicial District
Case Type: Harassment

Notice of Filing of Order

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT you are hereby notified that the attached Order has been filed in this case. The date of filing is represented on the "FILED" stamp on the face of the order.

Donald Harper
Court Administrator
Ramsey County District Court
25 West Seventh Street
Saint Paul, MN 55102
651-266-5130

A true and correct copy of this notice has been served pursuant to Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 77.04.

Exhibit B

STATE OF MINNESOTA
COUNTY OF RAMSEY

DISTRICT COURT
SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
CASE TYPE: HARASSMENT

In Re the Matter of:

Court File No. 62-HR-CV-21-362

Brittany Marie Anderson,

Petitioner,

and

Timothy Allyn Hutchinson,

Respondent.

**PETITIONER'S
NOTICE OF FILING AND ENTRY OF
ORDER GRANTING HARASSMENT RESTRAINING
ORDER AFTER HEARING**

TO: TIMOTHY ALLYN HUTCHINSON, ABOVE-NAMED *SELF-REPRESENTED*
RESPONDENT, 1773 GARDEN LANE, WHITE BEAR LAKE, MN 55110

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 13, 2023, the Court filed and entered the attached Order Granting Harassment Restraining Order After Hearing in the above-entitled matter. THE PURPOSE OF THIS NOTICE IS TO LIMIT THE TIME FOR POST-TRIAL/POST-HEARING RELIEF AND/OR APPEAL.

CAPISTRANT VAN LOH, P.A.

Dated: July 27, 2023

Is/ Daniel J. Van Loh

Daniel J. Van Loh (#0297513)
Attorney for Petitioner
One Main Street SE
Suite 206
Minneapolis, MN 55414
(612) 827-6300
dan@capvanloh.com

State of Minnesota

County Ramsey

District Court

Judicial District:	Second
Court File Number:	62-HR-CV-21-362
Case Type:	Harassment

In the Matter of:

Brittany Anderson
a/k/a Brittany Hutchinson
Petitioner

**Order Granting Harassment Restraining
Order After Hearing**
(Minn. Stat. § 609.748)

vs.

Timothy Allyn Hutchinson

This matter was heard by Elizabeth Clysdale, Judge/Referee of District Court on July 10, 2023.

Appearances were made by:

- Petitioner Petitioner's Attorney: Daniel Van Loh, Esq.
- Respondent Respondent's Attorney:
- Other: Witnesses for Respondent

Based upon the evidence and all the files, records and proceedings in this matter, the court finds:

1. The Respondent denies the allegations of the Petition but has no objection to the issuance of a Restraining Order. The court makes no findings of harassment.
2. There are reasonable grounds to believe that Respondent has engaged in harassment which has or is intended to have a substantial adverse effect on safety, security, or privacy of Petitioner or the minor children or ward(s) of Petitioner by committing the following acts:
 - Physically or sexually assaulted the Petitioner as follows:
 - Followed, monitored, or pursued the Petitioner as follows:
 - Made uninvited visits to the Petitioner as follows:
 - Made harassing phone calls or sent harassing text messages to the Petitioner as follows:
 - Made threats to the Petitioner as follows:
 - Frightened Petitioner with threatening behavior as follows:
 - Called the Petitioner abusive names as follows:
 - Damaged Petitioner's property as follows:
 - Broke into and entered Petitioner's residence as follows:

- Stole property from Petitioner as follows:
- Took pictures of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows:
- Disseminated private sexual images of the Petitioner without permission of the Petitioner as follows:
- Used personal information, without consent, to invite, encourage, or solicit a third party to engage in a sexual act with the Petitioner as followings:
- Did acts repeatedly that meet the legal definition of “targeted residential picketing” as follows:
- Attended public events after being notified that Respondent’s presence at the events is harassing to Petitioner as follows:
- Used social media to harass Petitioner as following:
- Other: The court finds the following:
1. Respondent is Petitioner’s father. On May 27, 2021, Respondent agreed to a two-year Harassment Restraining Order (HRO) without findings. Respondent agreed to not have any contact with Petitioner, except: “Respondent may only contact the Petitioner in writing through her attorney. In any communication sent through counsel, Respondent may not disparage or harass the Petitioner or Steven Anderson.” Petitioner’s husband also has his own HRO against Respondent.
 2. In June 2021, Respondent created a website and a ninety-minute video, which was his response to all of the allegations raised in Petitioner’s initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged Petitioner’s Husband. (Ex. 6). Respondent invited family, including Petitioner, to view the website. In 2022, Respondent wrote a letter to his family and friends, which was uploaded to the website. (Ex. 5). In this letter, Respondent provided updates and again responds to the allegations raised in Petitioner’s initial Petition for a HRO and disparaged Petitioner.
 3. On June 4, 2021, Respondent sent a letter to Petitioner, which accused her husband of lying and blamed him for Respondent and Petitioner’s broken relationship. (Ex. 4). On December 7, 2021, Respondent sent another letter to Petitioner, where Respondent blames Petitioner’s husband for his health issues, lack of sleep, and his heart condition. (Ex. 10).
 4. On May 4, 2023, Respondent sent a message addressed to Petitioner’s husband through their attorney. In this letter, Respondent mentions that the HRO expires on May 23, 2023, and goes on to say that Petitioner’s husband’s lies will be exposed, and he plans to protest at the church every week at both locations. (Ex. 3).

5. On May 5, 2023, Respondent contacted Petitioner husband's employer, Calvary Church, and demanded that the church investigate Petitioner's husband's conduct as it relates to the problems between Respondent and Petitioner. In this letter, Respondent threatened to take action if an investigation was not conducted. When Calvary Church declined to investigate, Respondent replied: "The banners have been ordered. My family and friends are ready. The protest will happen. There is only one way to stop this, and that is for [Calvary Church] to do the proper investigation." (Ex. 2). Respondent was referring that there would be protests on church property directed at Petitioner's husband. Petitioner is a member and volunteers at this church and her sense of community was threatened by having her husband's employment jeopardized.
6. Respondent does not dispute that he created a website and a video, sent letters to Calvary Church, and letters to Petitioner and her husband through their attorney. Respondent offered explanations and excuses for his conduct, which were not credible. Respondent's sister's testimony that she was organizing the protest and Respondent was not going to be present was not credible. Respondent's website, video, letters to Calvary Church, and letters to Petitioner and her husband through their attorney violated the existing HRO and were intended to and have negatively impacted Petitioner's safety, security and privacy. Respondent lacks insight into how his conduct has affected Petitioner and her husband and it is likely the harassment will continue without a HRO in place.
7. Petitioner is requesting a ten-year HRO, this request is denied. A HRO may be issued for a period of more than two years when there have been two or more violations of a HRO. Given the nature of the violations and that most of Respondent's contact has been directed at Petitioner's husband, the HRO will not be issued for more than two years.
3. The harassment has or is intended to have a substantial adverse effect on the Petitioner's safety, security, or privacy.
4. The relief granted by this order may be for a period of up to 50 years based on the finding that:
- The petitioner has had two or more previous restraining order in effect against the same respondent; or
- The respondent has violated a prior or existing restraining order on two or more occasions.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Respondent shall not harass Petitioner Petitioner's minor childred or ward(s)

List minor children or ward(s) included in the Petition: _____

- a. Respondent shall have no direct or indirect contact with Petitioner Petitioner's minor children or ward(s), including any visits to or phone calls to the protected person(s), contact via electronic means such as email or social networking sites, threats or assaultive behavior to the protected person(s), damaging or stealing property belonging to the protected person(s), breaking into and entering the protected person(s) residence, and/or taking pictures of a protected person without permission of the Petitioner.
- b. The relief granted does not extend to the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s). The relief requested for the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s) is denied because the harassment is not directed against the Petitioner's minor children or ward(s).
- c. Respondent is prohibited from being within two (2) city blocks or a quarter mile of Petitioner's home at 725 4th Street E. Saint Paul, MN 55016 or future residences of Petitioner should Petitioner relocate.
Except as following: _____
- d. Petitioner's address is confidential. If Respondent knows or learns of Petitioner's address, Respondent is prohibited from being within _____ of Petitioner's home.
- e. Respondent is prohibited from being within two (2) city blocks or quarter mile of Petitioner's job site at University of Minnesota Medical Center, 500 SE Harvard St., Minneapolis, MN 55455, with the restriction to include the patient/visitor parking ramp on the corner of SE Harvard St. and Delaware Street.
Except as follows: _____
- f. Other: _____
2. Any requested relief that is not specifically listed above is denied.
3. This Order shall remain in effect until 2025, which is two (2) years from the date of this Order, unless changed by a later court order.
(Date not to exceed two years unless findings made to support longer order.)
4. The Court Administrator shall send a copy of this Order to the following law enforcement agency(s): Ramsey County Sheriff's Department and Saint Paul Police Department. **Every police department and sheriff's office in the United States, including those affiliated with tribal and territorial lands, is responsible for enforcing this Order under 18 U.S.C. § 2265 Full Faith and Credit of Protective Orders.**
5. If Respondent is an organization, this order shall / shall not apply to all members of the organization.

- 6. Other: _____
- 7. The sheriff of any county in Minnesota, or a peace officer, shall perform the duties relating to serving this Order without charge to Petitioner.
- 8. Respondent is restrained from harassing, stalking, or threatening the protected person(s), or engaging in other conduct that would place the protected person(s) in reasonable fear of bodily injury to that person; and is prohibited from the use; attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the protected person(s) that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(B) and (C).

NOTICE


Any conduct by the Respondent in violation of the specific provisions provided in the "It Is Ordered" section above constitutes a violation of this Harassment Restraining Order. A police officer shall arrest the Respondent without warrant and take the Respondent to jail if the police officer believes the Respondent has violated this Order, and shall hold the Respondent in jail for at least 36 hours, excluding the day of arrest, Sundays, and legal holidays, unless the Respondent is released by a judge or judicial officer.

Violation of this Harassment Restraining Order may be treated as a misdemeanor, gross misdemeanor, or felony. A misdemeanor violation may result in a sentence of up to 90 days in jail and/or a fine of \$1000.00. Some repeat violations are gross misdemeanors that may result in a sentence of up to one year in jail and/or a \$3,000.00 fine. Other violations are felonies that may result in a sentence of imprisonment for up to five years and/or a fine of \$10,000.00.

Federal law may prohibit shipping, transporting, possessing or receiving firearms or ammunition while this order is in effect. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8).

If the court grants this Harassment Restraining Order for a period of up to 50 years under Minn. Stat. §609.748 subd. 5, the Respondent must wait 5 years to seek modification of the Harassment Restraining Order.

Dated: _____



Christine Elizabeth (Referee)
Jul 17 2021 10:34 AM

Referee of District Court

Dated: _____

Judge of District Court

Distribution

- Copy for Petitioner
- Copy for local police department

- Copy for Respondent
- Copy for Sheriff

Other:

State of Minnesota
Ramsey County

District Court
Second Judicial District
Case Type: Harassment

Notice of Filing of Order

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT you are hereby notified that the attached Order has been filed in this case. The date of filing is represented on the "FILED" stamp on the face of the order.

Donald Harper
Court Administrator
Ramsey County District Court
25 West Seventh Street
Saint Paul, MN 55102
651-266-5130

A true and correct copy of this notice has been served pursuant to Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 77.04.